# PATHOGEN POLLUTION PROJECT (P3) TERRESTRIAL ANIMAL FECAL STUDY: PRELIMINARY RESULTS FOR CENTRAL CALIFORNIA COAST BEEF CATTLE

## **Background**

Fecal samples were collected from three central California coast beef cattle ranches during 2007-08 as part of a broader fecal pathogen pollution study. During the dry (May-October) and wet seasons (November-April), 101 and 100 fecal samples were collected, respectively. Feces were analyzed for prevalence of bacterial pathogens (*Campylobacter*, *E. coli* 0157, *Salmonella*, and *Vibrio* species) and protozoal parasites (*Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* species). These single-celled organisms inhabit the gastrointestinal tract of mammals and can cause enteric disease.

Bacterial pathogens and fecal parasites can cause diarrhea in humans and animals as well as reducing growth performance and milk production in adult cattle<sup>1</sup>. *Cryptosporidium parvum* has been associated with waterborne disease outbreaks in humans<sup>2-3</sup> and can cause severe diarrhea and mortality in calves, as well as, immunocompromised individuals<sup>4-5</sup>. A related parasite, *Cryptosporidium andersoni (muris)* was thought to be responsible for weight-loss (0.5 kg/day) in feedlot cattle<sup>6</sup>. In another study, cows shedding *C. andersoni* oocysts were reported to produce significantly less milk (approximately 3.2 kg/day)<sup>7</sup>. *Giardia duodenalis* and fecal bacteria also cause enteric disease and can be transmitted directly from host to host, indirectly by ingestion of food or water contaminated with fecal pathogens, or by living in a contaminated environment.

## **Preliminary Results**

#### Ranch 1

Season	Samples	Bacteria	Protozoa
	Tested		
Dry	50	3 positive for <i>Campylobacter</i> (6%)	4 positive for <i>C. parvum</i> -like oocysts
			(8%), 3 positive for <i>C. andersoni</i>
			(6%), 31 positive for <i>Giardia</i> (62%)
Wet	50	All were negative for <i>Campylobacter</i> ,	14 positive for <i>C. parvum</i> -like oocysts
		E. coli 0157, Salmonella, Vibrio	(28%), 3 positive for <i>C. andersoni</i>
			(6%), 33 positive for <i>Giardia</i> (66%)

#### Ranch 2

Season	Samples	Bacteria	Protozoa
	<b>Tested</b>		
Dry	40	1 positive for <i>Campylobacter</i> (5.5%) <sup>a</sup>	4 positive for <i>C. parvum</i> -like oocysts
			(10%), 12 positive for <i>Giardia</i> (30%)
Wet	50	1 positive for <i>Campylobacter</i> (2%)	1 positive for C. andersoni (2%), 6
			positive for Giardia (12%)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Results only reported for 18 of 40 samples.

#### Ranch 3

Season	Samples	Bacteria	Protozoa
	<b>Tested</b>		
Dry	11	All were negative for <i>Campylobacter</i> ,	1 positive for <i>C. parvum</i> -like oocysts
		E. coli 0157, Salmonella, Vibrio <sup>b</sup>	(9%), 1 positive for Giardia (9%)
Wet	0		

b Results only reported for 4 of 11 samples.

### **Bacterial Pathogens**

No *E. coli*-0157, *Salmonella*, or *Vibrio* spp. were detected to date. *Campylobacter* spp. were detected in 2.5% of adult cattle fecal samples tested. The *Campylobacter* species typically responsible for enteric disease include *C. jejuni* and to a lesser extent *C. coli. Campylobacter* infections are generally self-limiting with symptoms (thick, mucoid diarrhea with occasional flecks of blood, with or without a fever) resolving in 3-7 days. Animals can be infected asymptomatically and contaminated food and water are often the source of infection. *Campylobacter jejuni* and *C. coli* can infect cattle, sheep, chickens, turkeys, dogs, cats, mink, ferrets, pigs, and humans <sup>8-9</sup>. Previous studies of beef cattle operations reported 5-89.4% of fecal samples tested were positive for *Campylobacter* spp <sup>10-13</sup>. The *Campylobacter* isolates obtained during our study have been identified preliminarily and their pathogenic potential is unknown.

### **Protozoal Parasites**

Cryptosporidium parasites were detected in 14% of fecal samples overall and Giardia parasites were detected in 41% of the overall samples. The protozoal species typically responsible for infection of dairy and beef cattle include Cryptosporidium parvum, C. andersoni, and Giardia duodenalis (assemblages A, E)<sup>14</sup>. Symptoms of protozoal infections generally include profuse watery diarrhea lasting up to several weeks. Previous studies have reported prevalence rates ranging between 1-70% for Cryptosporidium spp. <sup>12, 15-21</sup> and between 7-82% for Giardia spp <sup>12, 21-23</sup>. These values depend on the type and age-class of cattle and management practices. Dairy cattle typically have greater prevalence rates than beef cattle <sup>15</sup>. Calves are more susceptible to protozoal infection than adults because of their still developing immune system. One study reported an average prevalence rate of 49% for Giardia in calves <6 months, with the prevalence on individual farms ranging from 0 to 82% Management practices such as length of calving season, age at weaning, pasture location, or stocking density also may play a significant role in prevalence rates <sup>12</sup>.

Further testing is required to determine the source(s) of protozoal infection of the cattle grazing at each ranch. It is possible that cattle were infected before being moved onto their current pastures. Cattle also may have been exposed to fecal pathogens from natural water sources contaminated from upstream activities, previously contaminated pastures, infected individuals within the herd, or from wildlife.

#### **How Can Pathogen Infection Be Prevented?**

Beneficial (or best) management practice (BMPs) have been used by ranchers across the United States and Canada to improve livestock health and to reduce environmental loading and transport of fecal pathogens to water sources<sup>17, 25-27</sup>. Some BMPs work by minimizing the amount of time cattle spend in natural water sources, thereby reducing the cattle's risk of ingesting pathogenic organisms and reducing the fecal contamination of the environment. Other BMPs such as vegetative buffers can remove pathogens from overland runoff and thereby improve water quality. Many BMPs are easy to implement and cost effective. These practices can include:

- Providing clean alternative water sources such as troughs.
- Amending the design of existing troughs (e.g., trough height) to exclude waste materials from entering the clean water source.
- Placing supplemental feed away from natural water sources.

- Excluding cattle from areas identified as high risk.
- Planting vegetative filter strips or increasing the distance between grazing cattle and waterways. Vegetation acts as natural buffer, filtering out pathogenic organisms before they enter the water.

#### Summary

Understanding and managing fecal pathogen pollution is a challenging and complex undertaking. The public often thinks that because cattle produce large amounts of manure, they are the main contributors to fecal pathogen pollution. However, recent studies have shown that livestock, domestic animals, wildlife, and humans all contribute to fecal loading in coastal watersheds<sup>28</sup>. Studies have shown that beef cattle herds often have a very low prevalence of fecal pathogens, and molecular epidemiology suggests that cattle are not as significant of a reservoir for human infections as was once believed. For example, most *Giardia duodenalis* from cattle (Assemblage E) is different from that found in humans (Assemblage A and B) and *Cryptosporidium andersoni* has been found to only infect cattle<sup>14</sup>. In order to best manage fecal wastes for the prevention of enteric disease in both cattle and humans, reducing herd prevalence as well as implementing onfarm BMPs is important, and can be used strategically to improve health while minimizing costs.

In this study, *Campylobacter* spp. were detected in beef cattle at low levels during the wet and dry season and on two of the three ranches. *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* were detected in both the wet and dry seasons and on all three ranches. The highest prevalence of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* (up to 66% of cattle shedding parasites) was observed on Ranch 1. The prevalence rates of the bacterial and protozoal pathogens observed at all three ranches during this study are similar to what has been reported previously in the literature. Further testing is needed to determine the zoonotic potential of the *Campylobacter*, *Cryptosporidium*, and *Giardia* isolates that were obtained. Results from this study will be used in a broader fecal pathogen project and it is our policy that participating ranches remain anonymous. We look forward to the opportunity to discuss the study findings and plans for future work in more detail.

#### **Contacts**

Stori Oates MWVCRC 1451 Shaffer Rd. Santa Cruz, CA 95060 831-212-7690 scoates@ucdavis.edu

Woutrina Miller (PI) UC Davis Vet Med PMI VM3A One Shields Ave. Davis, CA 95616 wamiller@ucdavis.edu

#### **Literature Cited**

- 1. Anderson, B.C. 1998. Cryptosporidiosis in bovine and human health. J. Dairy Sci. 81:3036-3041.
- 2. MacKenzie, W. R., N.J. Hoxie, M.E. Proctor, M.S. Gradus, K.A. Blair, D.E. Peterson, J.J. Kazmierczak, D.G. Addiss, K.R. Fox, and J.B. Rose. 1994. A massive outbreak in Milwaukee of Cryptosporidium infection transmitted through the public water supply. N. Eng. J. Med. 331:161-167.
- 3. Liang, J.L., E.J. Dziuban, G.F. Craun, V. Hill, M.R. Moore, R.J. Gelting, R.L. Calderon, M.J. Beach, S.L. Roy. 2006. Surveillance for waterborne disease and outbreaks associated with drinking water and water not intended for drinking—United States, 2003–2004. In: Surveillance Summaries, December 22, 2006. MMWR 55 (No. SS-12):31–65.
- 4. Fayer, R., C Speer, J. Dubey. 1997. The general biology of Cryptosporidium. In: Fayer R, ed. Cryptosporidium and Cryptosporidiosis. Boca Raton: CRC Press. 1–41.
- 5. Olson, M.E., B.J. Ralston, R. O'Handley, N.J. Guselle, A.J. Applebee. 2004. What is the clinical and zoonotic significance of Cryptosporidiosis in domestic animals and wildlife. In: Thompson RCA, Armson A, Ryan UM, eds. Cryptosporidium: From Molecules to Disease. Elsevier Sci. (In press).
- 6. Anderson, B. C. 1987. Abomasal cryptosporidiosis in cattle. Vet. Pathol. 24:235–238.
- 7. Esteban, E. and B. C. Anderson. 1995. Cryptosporidium muris: prevalence, persistency, and detrimental effect on milk production in a drylot dairy. J. Dairy Sci. 78:1068–1072.
- 8. Manser, P.A. and R.W. Dalziel. 1985. A survey of Campylobacter in animals. J. Hyg. (Cambridge) 95:15-21.
- 9. Skirrow, M.B. 1991. Epidemiology of Campylobacter enteritis. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 12:9-16.
- 10. Inglis, G.D., L.D. Kalischuk, and H. W. Busz. 2003. A survey of Campylobacter species shed in faeces of beef cattle using polymerase chain reaction. Can. J. Microbiol. 49: 655-661.
- 11. Inglis, G.D., L.D. Kalischuk, and H.W. Busz. 2004. Chronic shedding of Campylobacter species in beef cattle. J. Appl. Microbiol. 97:410–420.
- 12. Hoar, B.R., E.R. Atwill, and T.B. Farver. 2001. An examination of risk factors associated with beef cattle shedding pathogens of potential zoonotic concern. Epidemiol. Infect. 127:147-15.
- 13. Stanley, K.N., J.S. Wallace, J.E. Currie, P.J. Diggle, K. Jones. 1998. The seasonal variation of thermophilic campylobacters in beef cattle, dairy cattle and calves. J. Appl. Microbiol. 85: 472-80.
- 14. Olson, M.E., R.M. O'Handley, B.J. Ralston, T.A. McAllister, and R.C.A. Thompson. 2004. Update on *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* infections in cattle. Trends in Parasitol. 20: 185-191.
- 15. Atwill, R., B. Hoar, M.D.G.C. Pereira, K.W. Tate, F. Rulofson, and G. Nader. 2003. Improved Quantitative Estimates of Low Environmental Loading and Sporadic Periparturient Shedding of *Cryptosporidium parvum* in Adult Beef Cattle. Appl. Envir. Microbiol. 69:4604–4610.

- 16. Atwill, E.R., E. Johnson, D.J. Klingborg, G.M. Veserat, G. Markegard, W.A. Jensen, D.W. Pratt, R.E. Delma, H.A. George, L.C. Forero, R.L. Phillips, S.J. Barry, N.K. McDougald, R.R. Gildersleeve, and W.E. Frost. 1999. Age, geographic, and temporal distribution of fecal shedding of *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts in cow-calf herds. Am. J. Vet. Res. 60:420–425.
- 17. Atwill, E.R., E.M. Johnson, M.G. Pereira. 1999. Association of herd composition, stocking rate, and duration of calving season with fecal shedding of *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts in beef herds. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 215:1833-1838.
- 18. Scott, C. A., H.V. Smith, M.M.A. Mtambo, and H.A. Gibbs. 1995. An epidemiological study of Cryptosporidium parvum in two herds of adult beef cattle. Vet. Parasitol. 57:277–288.
- 19. Trotz-Williams L.A, B.D. Jarvie, S.W. Martin, K.E. Leslie, and A.S. Peregrine. 2005. Prevalence of *Cryptosporidium parvum* infection in southwestern Ontario and its association with diarrhea in neonatal dairy calves. Can Vet J. 46:349-51.
- 20. Wade, S. E., H. O. Mohammed, and S. L. Schaaf. 2000. Prevalence of *Giardia* sp., *Cryptosporidium parvum*, and *Cryptosporidium muris* (*C. andersoni*) in 109 dairy herds in five counties of southeastern New York. Vet. Parasitol. 93:1–11.
- 21. Quilez, J., C. Sanchez-Acedo, E. del Cacho, A. Clavel, and A. C. Causape. 1996. Prevalence of Cryptosporidium and Giardia infections in cattle in Aragon (northeastern Spain). Vet. Parasitol. 66:139–146.
- 22. Appelbee, A.J., L.M. Frederick, T.L. Heitman, and M.E. Olson. 2003. Prevalence and genotyping of Giardia duodenalis from beef calves in Alberta, Canada. Vet. Parasitol. 112:289-294
- 23. McAllister, T.A., M. E. Olson, A. Fletch, M. Wetzstein, and T. Entz. 2005. Prevalence of *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* in beef cows in southern Ontario and in beef calves in southern British Columbia Can. Vet. J. 46:47–55
- 24. Uehlinger, F.D., H.W. Barkema, R.M. O'Handley, B.R. Dixon, N. Buchanan, J.M. Trout. 2004. Giardia in cattle on Prince Edward Island. [Abstract]. Abstracts World Buiatrics Congress. p.1823.
- 25. Atwill, E. R., K.W. Tate, M.D.G.C. Pereira, J. Bartolome, and G. Nader. 2006. Efficacy of natural grassland buffers for removal of *Cryptosporidium parvum* in rangeland runoff. J. Food Prot. 69:177-184.
- 26. Miller, W.A., D.J. Lewis, M. Lennox, M.G.C. Pereira, K.W. Tate, P.A. Conrad, E.R. Atwill. 2007. Climate and on-Farm risk factors associated with *Giardia duodenalis* cysts in storm runoff from California coastal dairies. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 73: 6972-6979.
- 27. Tate, K.W., E.R. Atwill, J.W. Bartolome, G. Nader. 2006. Significant *Escherichia coli* attenuation by vegetative buffers on annual grasslands. J Environ Qual. 35: 795-805.
- 28. Rosen, B.H. 2000. Waterborne pathogens in agricultural watersheds. WSSI-Waterborne Pathogens. ftp://ftp-fc.sc.egov.usda.gov/WSI/pdffiles/Pathogens\_in\_Agricultural\_Watersheds.pdf